Application of Poisson Hidden Markov Model to Predict Number of PM2.5 Exceedance Days in Tehran During 2016-2017
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Abstract

PM2.5 is an important indicator of air pollution. This pollutant can result in lung and respiratory problems in people. The aim of the present study was to predict number of PM2.5 exceedance days using Hidden Markov Model considering Poisson distribution as an indicator for people susceptible to that particular level of air quality. In this study, evaluations were made for number of PM2.5 exceedance days in Tehran, Iran, from Oct. 2010 to Dec. 2015. The Poisson hidden Markov model was applied considering various hidden states to make a two-year forecast for number of PM2.5 exceedance days. We estimated the Poisson Hidden Markov’s parameters (transition matrix, probability, and lambda) by using maximum likelihood method. By applying the Akaike Information Criteria, the hidden Markov model with three states was used to make the prediction. The results of forecasting mean, median, mode, and interval for the three states of Poisson hidden Markov model are reported. The results showed that the number of exceedance days in a month for the next two years using the third state of the model would be 5 to 16 days. The predicted mode and mean for the third months afterward at the third state were 11 and 11. These predictions showed that number of exceedance days (predicted mean of 6.87 to 11.39 days) is relatively high for sensitive individuals according to the PM2.5 Air Quality Index. Thus, it is essential to monitor levels of suspended particulate air pollution in Tehran.
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1. Background

World health organization (WHO) reported in 2012 that many people die of the adverse effects of exposure to fine particles such as cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and cancers (1). Numerous studies have been conducted around the world on the effects of short-term and long-term exposure to air pollution. Among the investigated air pollutants, PM2.5 is widely studied due to its negative effects on people's health (2-5). It should be noted that this type of pollutants is mostly emitted to the environment from industrial sources (6). Based on the previous reports, there is a strong correlation between concentration of PM2.5 and rate of hospitalization due to cardiovascular diseases such as stroke and ischemic and respiratory diseases such as acute respiratory diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and cancer (2, 7, 8).

The issue of air pollution is considered as the Tehran’s most acute problem for those living in this metropolitan (9). Although air quality of Tehran has improved slightly due to removing lead from fuels and decreased concentrations of sulfur and carbon monoxide, annual reports show that air quality is classified as unhealthy for one in three days. This is mostly due to the accumulative effects of population growth, use of old and heavy vehicles, high number of commercial institutions, and geographical and meteorological factors (9, 10). It should be noted that poor air quality in Tehran makes people stay at home or use a mask in public places (9). Recently, EPA has released a revised standard as the index for PM2.5 air pollution (6). It should also be mentioned that retaining pollutants under the standard values set for each pollutant could not be considered as completely safe for children, pregnant women,
Predicting concentrations of PM is an important issue in controlling and decreasing concentrations of air pollutants (6). So far, various methods have been applied to predict the concentration of PM. The two most commonly applied methods based on mathematical models are briefly explained as below (6, 11):

1.1. Deterministic Models Known also as Chemical Transmission Models (CTMs)

1.2. Statistical Models

The first model focuses on the source and transmission of various chemical elements.

Statistical methods can be used to determine the correlation between data on air pollution and factors related to meteorology. A wide range of statistical methods have been proposed in previous studies in relation to air quality; however, the data required for predicting PM using such methods are scarce, particularly in Iran (12-14).

Among the proposed statistical methods, the hidden Markov model (HMM) has a stronger mathematical structure and can be used to determine mathematical functions between hidden and observed patterns. HMMs have been used recently to predict the high concentrations of PM in California, USA; based on reports extracted from that study, this method is capable of predicting the number of PM2.5 exceedance days with high precision (11).

One method for overcoming the problem of overdispersion due to heterogeneity is to use a mixture model with consideration of dependency between observations. This method uses the dependency and Markov property (each observation is dependent on only one previous observation). Poisson–hidden Markov model is obtained by allowing this assumption (15).

The aim of this study was to forecast the future status of PM2.5 air pollution in Tehran. This issue is important in health and medical areas. This study was carried out using Poisson hidden Markov model that respects dependency principle in observations. The manuscript is organized in the following sections: the first section presents data collection and discusses the used method and then, results are shown in understandable figures; the second section presents a discussion on the results using statistical methods.

2. Methods

In the present study, Tehran was selected because it is, as the capital of the country, an important and crowded city. It is also considered as one of the most polluted cities in Iran due to its dense traffic. The required data were acquired from the air quality control center (AQCC) from Oct. 2010 to Dec. 2015. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the stations.

According to the guidelines for air pollution suggested by EPA, the 24-hour standard for PM2.5 has set at 50 µg.m\(^{-3}\) and levels exceeding 35 µg.m\(^{-3}\) are considered unhealthy for susceptible people (16).

The aim was to determine number of exceedance days for sensitive people (children, the elderly and pregnant women) and predict PM2.5 exceedance days in Tehran for 2016 and 2017 (a 24-month period).

Figure 2 shows the number of PM2.5 exceedance days at various months from Sep. 2010 to Dec. 2015. Based on studies on air pollution prediction at different cities all around the world (17-19), the HMM was used for prediction considering Poisson distribution of number of exceedance days for various months in the years 2016 and 2017.

The Markov chain is a randomized process that displaces among modes based on studied mechanism (20). This chain is based on the assumption that a current mode depends on its previous mode and the method is used to model future events.

The hidden Markov model (HMM) is a mixed model that constitutes a randomized two-part process. One part is not directly observable and includes hidden modes (C\(t\)) and the other part includes a series of randomized variables that are dependent on the hidden state of the first part (X\(t\)).

X\(t\) is not dependent on previous C\(t\) state; it is only dependent on the current state.

In the present study, evaluating the number of exceedance days at each month was considered as a randomized variable, distributions from randomized variables had hidden status, and randomized variables were dependent only on distribution.

\[ P \left( C_{t-1} | X^t \right) = P \left( C_{t-1} | C_{t-2} \right) \quad t = 2, 3, \ldots \]  

Where:
\[ C^{(t)} = C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t \]  

\[ P \left( X_1 | X^{t-1}, C^{(t)} \right) = P \left( X_1 | C_1 \right) t \in N \]  

\[ C^{(t)} = C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_t \]  

\[ X^{(t)} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_t) \]  

\[ P_t(x) = P \left( X_t = x | C_t = i \right) \]  

P\(_t\) indicates probability mass function of X\(_t\) at time t lie in i-th state.

For Poisson observation model, we have:
Figure 1. Location of Air Pollution Measurement Stations in Tehran

Figure 2. Frequency of Monthly PM$_{2.5}$ Exceedance Days in Tehran from Oct. 2010 to Dec. 2015

\[ P_i(x) = P(X_t = x | C_t = i) = \frac{e^{\lambda_i} x^i}{x!} \]

The likelihood function for this model is shown by: Equation 5.

\[ L_T = P(X^{(T)} = x^{(T)}) \]

\[ = \delta P(x_1) \Gamma P(x_2) \ldots \Gamma P(x_T) 1' \]

In this function, initial distribution is $\delta$ and $P(x)$ is diagonal matrix. The elements of $P(x)$ are $P_i(x)$s. $\Gamma$ is transition probability matrix. The parameters are obtained by maximum likelihood estimation.

Forecasting distribution can be obtained from this equation:

\[ P \left( X_{T+h} = x | X^T = x^T \right) = \varnothing T \Gamma^h P (x) 1' \]  

Where:

\[ \varnothing_T = \alpha_T / \alpha_T 1' \]

And:

\[ \alpha_T = \alpha_{T-1} \Gamma P(X_t) \]

To predict the number of exceedance days, the several states of Poisson Hidden Markov Models were fitted (15). To compare the fitting of different states of model, the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) were applied.

In this case, number of states was considered in terms of transition matrix states, the proportion of those cases transferred from one state to other states, and those states that did not change were computed as elements of this matrix.
Forecasting mean, mode, and probability of staying on the new state were calculated for the next two years (monthly).

All analyses were made using R (3.1.0) software.

3. Results and Discussion

In the present study, number of PM$_{2.5}$ exceedance days for sensitive individuals was evaluated from Oct. 2010 to Dec. 2015 and number of exceedance days was predicted using HM statistical procedure for 2016 and 2017.

Mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentration for the study years is presented in Table 1 indicating the high concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ for sensitive individuals during most of the study seasons.

Figure 3 shows PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations over recent years, representing a decreasing trend from 2010 to 2015. A higher number of exceedance days were observed in May 2011.

The number of PM2.5 exceedance days was 63 days from Oct. 2010 to Dec. 2015. Maximum and minimum numbers of exceedance days for the sensitive group were 26 and 0 days, respectively.

Mean and variance of exceedance days were 11.54 and 40.35, respectively, indicating that considering Poisson distribution, the predicted variance was estimated to be less than the real value (since the Poisson model assumes equal mean and variance) and thus, over-dispersion occurs (21). Therefore, the use of Poisson model was not appropriate and instead, the use of Poisson Hidden Markov Model was more favorable.

Fitting hidden Markov model in various modes (from 1 to 3 different modes) and comparison of these modes showed that the model with three modes gave the lower values of AIC and BIC; therefore, the three-mode model was selected to fit our data (Table 2).

Minimum and maximum exceedance days in the first, second, and third clusters were 0.4, 17.26, and 5.16, respectively. Therefore, these clusters were considered as status space in the Markov chain.

In order to estimate parameters associated with Poisson Hidden Markov at the transferring matrix, the possibilities, and their corresponding parameters of the Poisson distribution, maximum likelihood method was used (Table 3).

The results of predictions for the next 24 months (2016 to 2017) showed the possible number of exceedance days predicted by the third mode (with number of exceedance days from 5 to 16 days, with possibility more than 0.5) was higher than that predicted by the other two modes. Mean and mode for this course were 11 and 11, respectively. Evaluations for predicted intervals of exceedance days were as follows: the first month (0.7), the second month (0.10), and the other months (1.11) (Table 4). In addition, Figure 4 shows the trend of falling possibility in various modes. As can be observed in Figure 4, falling possibility in the third mode was more than that of the other two modes. It means that number of exceedance days in a month for sensitive individuals would be between 5 to 16 days in the years 2016 and 2017.

Numerous studies have been done to assess concentrations of air suspended particulates and their relation to various diseases in the world. In addition, some authors have tried to predict particulate concentrations using statistical and mathematical models. However, relatively limited studies have been conducted on prediction using these models in Iran. The HM model could predict number of PM$_{2.5}$ exceedance days with little error.
Table 1. Mean, Minimum, and Maximum of PM2.5 Concentration (µg/m³) at Various Seasons of 2010 to 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Autumn (October-December)</th>
<th>Winter (January-March)</th>
<th>Spring (April-June)</th>
<th>Summer (July-September)</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Min -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>105.89</td>
<td>105.53</td>
<td>105.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Min 52</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 108.06</td>
<td>107.97</td>
<td>97.77</td>
<td>104.47</td>
<td>104.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max 204</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Min 57</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 99.93</td>
<td>93.59</td>
<td>97.62</td>
<td>104.23</td>
<td>98.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max 192</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Min 48</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 80.02</td>
<td>97.98</td>
<td>104.09</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>97.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max 141</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Min 40</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 72.60</td>
<td>97.82</td>
<td>93.99</td>
<td>97.39</td>
<td>90.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max 125</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Min 28</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 80.03</td>
<td>89.03</td>
<td>92.53</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max 162</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of Stationary Poisson Hidden Markov Model with Different States

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of States</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>BIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>528.38</td>
<td>530.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>432.33</td>
<td>467.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>421.89</td>
<td>441.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Parameter Estimation by Maximum Likelihood Method for Three State Poisson Hidden Markov

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State 1</th>
<th>State 2</th>
<th>State 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lambda</td>
<td>1.555</td>
<td>19.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.429</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition matrix</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>probability</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>probability</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

series techniques have been used to predict future status. Nevertheless, these models for counting data could result in over-dispersion and reduced prediction ability. An HM model using Poisson distribution can mediate the potential for over-dispersion. Results of the present study showed a combined model of three hidden modes that could predict monthly number of exceedance days in the range of 5 - 16 days, which are considered high. In total, 826 days (42.34%) had higher concentrations than the standard level for sensitive individuals, while 1071 days (57.66%) had lower concentrations than the standard level.

In addition, numerous studies have reported seasonal and daily changes in air pollution worldwide. Farah Halek et al. (2010) reported PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations at four stations in the northwest of Tehran and found higher accumulation of particles during warmer seasons compared to cold seasons and the total mean PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was reported as 210.5 µg.m$^{-3}$ while it was 100 µg.m$^{-3}$ in the present study. This difference could be due to lower sample size and different study areas that some of them may not be representative of the whole city. Aditi Kulshresta et al. (2009) studied seasonal variations of particle concentration in Agra, India, and reported 104.9 µg.m$^{-3}$ PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in urban areas, which was equal to
the mean concentration of the pollutant in the present study. They also found the higher concentrations during winter months (November to February) (23).

Data on 1999 - 2005 show variations in PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ in relation to aerosol particles studied in different areas of Spain. In this study, urban areas and areas with heavy traffic had much higher concentrations than the countryside and rural areas; this result confirmed a relationship between air pollution particles and dispersions from anthropogenic sources of PM in urban areas with high-density of population.

Xiujuan Zhao et al. (2008) studied seasonal and daily variations in PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in urban and rural areas of Beijing (Jan. 2005 to Dec 2007) and reported higher PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in winter (112 $\mu$g/m$^3$ in 2006 and 98 $\mu$g/m$^3$ in 2007). Lower concentrations were recorded in spring and summer especially in years that had no dust storms. Increased PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in winter could be resulted from rising emissions from heating sources combined with low elevation of boundary layer. In addition, increasing concentrations of particles in the cold seasons in Tehran could be due to the city's geography; the city is surrounded by mountains in the North that causes air to become trapped. Increased concentrations of particles due to temperature inversion and reduced mixing height in winter may be another reason (24).

Based on a literature review, there has been little research on the application of HMM for predictions. Nevertheless, considering that the model could predict number of exceedance days, the required action should be planned to deal with the problem of air pollution. Junko Murakami used the Bayes method to estimate parameters of the Hidden Markov Model. Their results showed that for a low sample size and areas with little observational data, the Bayes method could be preferable to the maximum likelihood method for estimations (25).

Zhang et al. (2012) studied ozone levels using HMM by considering gamma distribution and found that according to ozone content, days could be divided into healthy and unhealthy days; they suggested using the model to predict concentrations that would exceed specific levels in the Livermore Valley, San Francisco. Since the purpose of this study was to predict number of days rather than concentrations, HMM was utilized considering Poisson distribution (18).

Peretz and Glausch (2016) predicted hourly PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in Santiago de Chile with an emphasis on night episodes. This was a seasonal prediction between August and April using a neural network. Their results showed higher concentrations at night episodes. They also showed that the accuracy of the model was higher than that of the other similar methods due to considering whole the possible models (26).

Zhang et al. (2013) predicted 8-hour concentration of ozone using HMM combined with generalized linear models and utilized data of 8 Livermore Valley areas from 2000 to 2007 to construct the model and used data from 2008 to 2009 to assess the validity of the model. Their results showed that the model worked well for predicting all ozone exceedance days. In the present study, HMM combined with Poisson generalized linear model had a great ability for prediction (27).

In this study, data from 2008 to 2009 were used to assess the model validity and results showed that the model operated well for prediction of total number of exceedance days. In the present study, the HMM combined with Pois-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.655</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Results of Forecasting State, Mean, Median, Mode, and Interval by Three States of Poisson Hidden Markov Model
son generalized linear model had a great prediction ability.

However, to our knowledge, the present study was the first to predict number of PM$_{2.5}$ exceedance days. The study provided evidence on many days of PM$_{2.5}$ exceedance for sensitive individuals.

A limitation of the present study was that it only focused on data from 63 months and the sample size was not sufficient for prediction of number of days in the next 24 months. Therefore, it is recommended that larger sample sizes and effective meteorological parameters such as temperature, moisture, and wind velocity be considered in further research.

4. Conclusions

In the present study, Tehran was selected for the study due to its high level of pollution. Poisson hidden Markov model was used to forecast numbers of PM$_{2.5}$ exceedance days. Results of the present study showed that 826 days (42.34%) had higher concentrations than the standard level due to its high level of pollution. Poisson hidden Markov model had a great ability to predict number of exceedance for sensitive individuals.

Hidden Markov Model considering poisson distribution had a great ability to predict number of exceedance days and this prediction can play an important role in decreasing and monitoring levels of PM$_{2.5}$ as well as in policy making for air pollution control.
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